



# KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

## ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

# MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

## Week-6;Laparoscopy Complications – When Complication Becomes Negligence

### 1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 34-year-old woman underwent laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy for a benign ovarian cyst at a private hospital. Surgery was described as uneventful, and she was discharged the next day. Forty-eight hours later, she returned with severe abdominal pain, vomiting, fever, abdominal distension, and tachycardia. Evaluation revealed bowel injury with peritonitis requiring emergency laparotomy, ICU admission, prolonged hospitalization, and multiple interventions. The relatives alleged negligence, delayed diagnosis, poor postoperative monitoring, and failure to identify the complication in time.

### 2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Laparoscopic surgery is widely accepted as safe and standard practice. However, complications become medicolegal issues when recognition, communication, or response is delayed. Common allegations include:

- Bowel injury during entry or dissection
- Ureteric injury missed intraoperatively
- Bladder injury
- Delayed recognition of postoperative deterioration
- Failure to refer in time
- Poor operative documentation
- Inadequate informed consent regarding known complications
- Inadequate discharge instructions

The complication itself may be defensible. Delay in response often is not.

### 3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

Courts understand that no surgery is risk-free. Known complications of laparoscopy include:

- >Bowel injury
- >Bladder injury
- >Vascular injury
- >Ureteric injury
- >Thermal injury
- >Anesthetic complications

A complication alone does not mean negligence.

However, the law expects:

- >Accepted surgical standard of care
- >Proper informed consent
- >Reasonable intraoperative judgment
- >Early recognition of warning signs
- >Prompt investigation and intervention
- >Timely referral when required
- >Honest communication with patient and relatives
- >Complete operative documentation

Negligence is often judged not by what happened, but by how the doctor responded after it happened

### 4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Operative documentation should clearly mention:

- Indication for surgery
- Preoperative diagnosis
- Consent taken for laparoscopy and possible conversion
- Entry technique used (Veress / open / direct trocar)
- Intraoperative findings
- Adhesions or difficult anatomy
- Surgical steps performed
- Hemostasis confirmation
- Instrument count
- Patient condition at closure

- Postoperative documentation should include:
- Pain severity
  - Vital signs
  - Abdominal findings
  - Urine output
  - Oral intake tolerance
  - Patient complaints
  - Reassessment timings
  - Action taken if deterioration occurs

Discharge note should include red flags:

- Fever
- Persistent vomiting
- Abdominal distension
- Inability to pass urine
- Severe pain

This documentation becomes crucial in court.



## **5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO**

- Explain known laparoscopic risks during consent
- Mention possibility of conversion to laparotomy
- Document difficult entry or adhesions honestly
- Never dismiss disproportionate postoperative pain
- Personally reassess symptomatic patients
- Investigate early if bowel or ureteric injury is suspected
- Refer early if ICU / higher surgical backup is needed
- Communicate transparently with relatives
- Good surgery includes good postoperative vigilance.

## **6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID**

- Assuming “routine laparoscopy” means low risk
- Ignoring tachycardia after surgery
- Delaying imaging
- Sending patient home too early
- Weak discharge instructions
- Incomplete operative notes
- Delayed referral
- Attempting concealment
- Courts are often harsher about concealment than complication.

## **7. CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL**

A complication may be unavoidable. Delay in recognizing it is often what becomes negligence.

## **8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)**

Indian consumer courts have repeatedly acknowledged that bowel and ureteric injuries are recognized complications of laparoscopic surgery.

Doctors are usually protected when:

1. Proper consent exists
2. Complication is recognized reasonably early
3. Appropriate intervention is taken
4. Documentation is complete

Courts become critical when:

1. Warning signs are ignored
2. Postoperative deterioration is dismissed
3. Referral is delayed
4. Records are incomplete

The court question is rarely: “Why did the complication happen?”

It is often: “Why was action delayed after warning signs appeared?”

## **9. TAKE-HOME MESSAGE**

Laparoscopic complications do not automatically mean negligence.

Delayed recognition, poor communication, weak documentation, and delayed escalation are what create medicolegal vulnerability.

Safe laparoscopy is not just about surgical skill.

It is also about judgment after surgery

***Next Week's Topic: Delay in Referral – When It Becomes Negligence.***



# MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

## KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS



**Dr. M.G. Hiremath**  
Chief Patron



**Dr. Nagaraj. H**  
Patron



**Dr. Rajshree Paladi**  
President



**Dr. Durgadas Asranna**  
Past President



**Dr. Shobha Gudi**  
General Secretary



**Dr. Shobha Bembalgi**  
Hon. Treasurer

## ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE



**Dr. Umaa Deshmukh**  
Chairperson



**Dr. Hemlatha Patil**  
Secretary



**Dr. Vinayak Jante**  
Convenor



**Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty**  
Member



**Dr. Savitha Badiger**  
Member



**Dr. Veena Bhat**  
Member



**Dr. Renuka M Dollin**  
Member



**Dr. Shanta sharan**  
Member



**Dr. Puneetha VS**  
Member



**Dr. Archana Kamakeri**  
Member



**Dr. Shailja Bidari**  
Member



**Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge**  
Member



**Dr. Hema Simhasane**  
Member



**Dr. Vidya Thobbi**  
Advisor



**Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar**  
Advisor